

**ASSEMBLIES OF GOD THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY**  
Degree Completion Program

**HISD 701: Christianity in the Modern and Postmodern Eras (1650-present)**

**Warren Newberry, D.Th.**  
[wnewberry@agts.edu](mailto:wnewberry@agts.edu)  
Office phone: 268-1069

**January 10-February 7, 2008**  
**Thursday evenings 6:00 – 10:00 pm**

**Annette Newberry, D.Min.**  
[wbnman@yahoo.com](mailto:wbnman@yahoo.com)

**COURSE SYLLABUS**

**COURSE DESCRIPTION**

A study of how Christians since the seventeenth century have perceived the mission of God in the world, involving the expansion and changing cultural contexts of Christianity from the emergence of the Enlightenment, modernity, and post modernity in the West to the global shift of Christianity southward. Key personalities; theological and missiological developments; the interaction of theology with history, hermeneutics, science, and philosophy; and renewal movements including evangelicalism and Pentecostalism receive attention. The course ends with a discussion of contemporary relevance and applications.

**OBJECTIVES**

At the conclusion of this course, each student should be able to:

1. Sketch the history of Christianity in its diverse cultural settings from 1650 to the present.
2. Analyze the contributions of key personalities and movements (for example, the missions movement and the ecumenical movement) since the seventeenth century.
3. Compare how diverse cultural contexts have both enriched and challenged the witness of the faith.
4. Analyze new understandings of the mission of the church that arose and the patterns of evangelization that were subsequently adopted.
5. Evaluate important theological issues that have contributed to the further articulation of Christian doctrine.
6. Examine developments in liturgy and notice how they have impacted the life of the churches.
7. Appraise issues of biblical interpretation that have impacted modern Christianity.
8. Critique the historical roles of women in ministry.
9. Analyze the challenge of Islam to Christianity since the seventeenth century.
10. Justify patterns for personal and corporate renewal today.

## **TEXTBOOKS**

González, Justo L. *The Story of Christianity, Vol. 2: The Reformation to the Present Day*. San Francisco: Harper & Row, 1984.

Jenkins, Philip. *The Next Christendom: The Coming of Global Christianity*, revised and expanded. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.

Olson, Roger E. *The Story of Christian Theology: Twenty Centuries of Tradition & Reform*. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1999.

Placher, William C., ed. *Readings in the History of Christian Theology, Vol. 2: From the Reformation to the Present*. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1988.

Riddell, Peter G., and Peter Cotterell. *Islam in Context: Past, Present, and Future*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2003.

## **OUTLINE and REQUIRED READINGS**

### **1. January 10: Mid- 17<sup>th</sup> Century Developments & 18<sup>th</sup> Century Enlightenment and Revivalism**

Read Gonzalez chaps. 14-21; Olson pp. 518-537; Placher pp. 82-90, 98-106

### **2. January 17: 18<sup>th</sup> Century Developments, continued**

Read Gonzalez chaps. 22-24; Olson pp. 473-517; Placher pp. 91-98

### **3. January 22: 19<sup>th</sup> Century Developments**

Read Gonzalez chaps. 25-30; Olson pp. 538-547; Placher pp.115-117, 120-123, 130-139, 151-153

**Note:** This session meets on Tuesday the 22<sup>nd</sup> instead of Thursday the 24<sup>th</sup> due to the *Day of Renewal* on the 24<sup>th</sup>.

### **4. January 31: 20<sup>th</sup> Century Developments**

Read Gonzalez chaps. 29, 33-35; Placher pp.119-120, 143-149, 156-164, 174-176; Olson pp. 547-553, 577-589,

### **5. February 7: Modern & Post-modern Developments**

Read Jenkins chaps. 1-6, Riddell & Cotterell chaps 10-13; Olson pp. 554-569, 590-609; Placher pp.165-167, 176-181, 184-203; Gonzalez chap. 36

## **METHODOLOGY**

Lecture

Audiovisual Media

## COURSE REQUIREMENTS

1. **Attendance:** The student is expected to attend all class sessions. Absences should be for extreme circumstances only. The limits established by the Seminary will be observed. “Barring a serious medical emergency, credit cannot be granted for courses in which a student has been absent beyond the equivalent of more than 20% (two of the five weeks).”
2. **Essays:** The student will submit **five 3-page essays based on assigned readings** during the term. These essays allow the student to interact with the *reading assignments* for the scheduled lecture topics and respond cogently to them. The three-page length expectation should be seen as both the maximum and minimum allowable length. (Essays should begin with the complete title, a brief statement of purpose, be double-spaced, and carefully proofread. They should NOT include a cover sheet, footnotes, endnotes, and bibliography.) See the attached guidelines for writing.

The essay papers are to be written on the following topics:

- a. January 10: Analyze Lessing’s *On the Proof of the Spirit and of Power*. What was the “ugly, broad ditch” that he could not get across? Do you get across it? How? (Placher—101-103)
  - b. January 17: Analyze Soren Kierkegaard’s *Attack Upon “Christendom.”* Evaluate the limitations of comparing first-century Christianity with that of later times. (Placher—141-143)
  - c. January 22: Analyze Rudolf Bultmann’s concept of “myth” in his *New Testament and Mythology* and evaluate what relevance it has for witnessing of the gospel to the modern person. (Placher—169-174)
  - d. January 31: Analyze the reading from the *Dogmatic Constitution of the Church* and ask: what are the strengths and weaknesses of the doctrine of the church that it teaches? (copies to be distributed in class ahead of time)
  - e. February 7: Analyze Martin Luther King, Jr.’s *Letter from Birmingham Jail*. Evaluate his concept of Christian social action. (Placher—185-189).
3. **Quizzes:** There will be one multiple choice quiz each week. These will be taken online as Internet activity using the new eCAMS and your newly-created student portal. (Effective in the spring semester). The questions will come from your weekly assigned readings. Instructions will be emailed to each student at the beginning of the spring semester.
  4. **Reading Report:** At the end of the course, each student will submit a reading report covering all required readings.

## **ACADEMIC INTEGRITY**

It is assumed that students at AGTS will endeavor to be honest and of high integrity in all matters pertaining to Seminary life. A lack of respect and integrity is evidenced by cheating, fabricating, plagiarizing, misusing facilities, removing books and other property not one's own, and disrupting classes. Cheating is defined as "intentionally using or attempting to use unauthorized materials, information or study aids in any academic exercise." It is assumed that whatever is submitted by a student is the work of that student and is new work for that course. Fabrication is "intentional and unauthorized falsification or invention of any information or citation in an academic exercise or form." Plagiarism is "intentionally or knowingly representing the words or ideas of another as one's own in any academic exercise." One who facilitates any of the above is equally responsible with the primary violator.

Penalties may include restitution, an "F" on an individual paper, exam, or course; loss of campus employment; disciplinary probation; removal from extracurricular activities; and suspension.—  
*AGTS Student Handbook*

## **CLASSROOM INTEGRITY AND THE USE OF COMPUTERS**

The use of laptop computers in the classroom represents a privilege given to students to enhance their learning. They may only be used for purposes related to the course. Therefore, it is breach of classroom etiquette, an act of rudeness, and a distraction to other students, when computers are also used to surf the web, watch videos, play games, and send messages, etc., during class time. The professors assume that seminarians will be persons of integrity when using computers in the classroom.

## **GRADING PROCEDURE**

Quizzes	25%
Essays	50%
Required Reading	25%

**Late work is subject to penalty!**

### **AGTS Grading Scale**

Publishable = A+	76% - 74% = C
100% - 94% = A	73% - 70% = C-
93% - 90% = A-	69% - 67% = D+
89% - 87% = B+	66% - 64% = D
86% - 84% = B	63% - 60% = D-
83% - 80% = B-	
79% - 77% = C+	

All assigned work for the course must be submitted for the student to receive a passing grade for the course. The grade of I (Incomplete) may be granted only for extenuating circumstances upon the written request of the student. Except in the case of severe extenuating circumstances, the course grade will be reduced by one letter.

**NOTE:** A \$30.00 fee will be charged to the student's account for every grade change even if the instructor has approved an extension for completing the work. Any exception to the policy will be made by the registrar.

### **RESOURCES**

Suggested sources for primary and secondary materials can be found in the bibliographies of the required textbooks for the course.

#### **Reading Summary for the Course**

<b>Text</b>	<b>Jan 10</b>	<b>Jan 17</b>	<b>Jan 22</b>	<b>Jan 31</b>	<b>Feb 7</b>
Gonzales	Chaps. 14-21	Chaps. 22-24	Chaps. 25-30	Chaps. 29, 33-35	Chap. 36
Olson	pp. 518-537	pp. 473-517	pp. 538-547	pp. 547-553, 577-589	pp. 554-569, 590-609
Placher	pp. 82-90, 98-106	pp. 91-98	pp. 115-117, 120-123, 130-139, 151-153	pp. 119-120, 143-149, 156-164, 174-176	pp. 165-167, 176-181, 184-203
Riddle & Cotterell					Chaps. 10-13
Jenkins					Chaps. 1-6

### **SPECIFIC DATA**

Prepared by Drs. Warren & Annette Newberry, November 2007

## **GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR WRITING BETTER PAPERS**

**By Dr. Gary McGee**

### **TRUISMS**

1. Reading and re-reading the instructions aid in the preparation of superior papers.
2. A good paper requires hard work. Within five minutes or less of reading a paper, a professor can spot poor work.
3. Writing skills improve with more writing; there are no shortcuts.

### **WHAT THE PROFESSORS EXPECT**

1. Original analytical reflection
2. Integrity in writing
3. Consistency in following the designated style manual (e.g., APA, Turabian, etc.)

### **WHAT STUDENTS EXPECT OF PROFESSORS**

1. Clear instructions about the nature of the paper.
2. A clear rationale for the grade should be provided through comments written on the paper.
3. The weight of the grade based first on the content of the paper, secondly on the mechanics.

NOTE: This does not minimize the problem of mechanical errors, typos, etc., because they interfere with the logical argumentation of the paper. When I was in graduate school, a professor stated that he would count the number of mechanical problems and typos on a paper. If the number exceeded the number of pages, the grade would be reduced by one grade point. This represents a fair approach to grading. It has been my experience that if more than one mistake on the average appears on a page, then the paper has been poorly prepared both mechanically *and analytically*.

### **GUIDELINES:**

1. Before writing, prepare a detailed outline of what you intend to address; this will prevent rambling and guarantee logical development.
2. Somewhere on the first page, a clear and brief statement of purpose needs to be given, one that explains the nature of the paper and what it intends to achieve. The conclusion should link closely with the statement of purpose.
3. Write in good written, not spoken English. All sentences should be complete and coherent. Most people, especially preachers, write like they talk. (Of course, profs remain immune to such temptations!) This means that redundancies will inevitably appear in the text. While repetition and alliteration may be effective in the pulpit, such devices can be unnecessary and distracting in print. Avoid constant repetitions of proper names (use pronouns), expressions, etc. Also, use adjectives, adverbs and emphasis words (such as “very”) sparingly.
4. After completing the first draft, look carefully through the paper and eliminate unnecessary sentences; continue this approach with later drafts. “What?” you ask, “more than

one draft?”

5. Keep a sharp eye on paragraph development. The topic presented in the opening sentence must govern what follows. If a paragraph becomes a collection of several unrelated thoughts (e.g., the last sentence has no connection to the opening sentence), then the logical argumentation has broken down. Furthermore, make certain that the paragraph properly fits into the development of the section.
6. Following the introduction of a person's name (e.g., Harry Jones), only use their last name and/or pronouns afterward. Do not use the person's first name in subsequent references; this would imply that you are a personal friend of the person cited.
7. Minimize the use of verbs of being: am, is, are, were, and being. They are usually overworked. Regular active verbs make stronger sentences.
8. If in doubt about a long sentence construction, break into two or more sentences.
9. How should you refer to yourself in the paper? I suggest the occasional use of the pronoun "I." Referring to yourself as "this writer" or "this author" or "he or she who scribeth this paper" appears awkward in my estimation. At the same time, minimize use of the first person plural ("we," "us," "our") and avoid entirely the second person singular and plural ("you"). Refer to others in the third person singular or plural. Consistency avoids confusion and keeps the reader on course.
10. Use direct quotations sparingly . A direct quotation should only be used when a source says something of such importance that the reader would be deprived by not being aware of it. ***Generally speaking, the frequent use of direct quotations and especially block quotations in any kind of paper may indicate that the writer has not adequately digested the material; long or frequent quotations then serve as "stuffers" in the text.***
11. Beware of plagiarism. A safe rule of thumb is that only three to five words from a source may be used without quotation marks and a reference number.
12. Proofread the paper carefully and by all means use a spell-check. Have someone else — "THE HONEST FRIEND"—or several people read the paper to look for typos, mechanical errors, and especially for clarity and logical development. Learn from their suggestions, but don't accept them uncritically.
13. THOU SHALT NOT (1) justify the right margin; (2) use large or very small fonts.
14. Gender inclusive language should always be used except when referring to the members of the Trinity.